

**THETA DERIVATIVES AND TEICHMÜLLER CURVES  
(ARBEITSTAGUNG 2011)**

MARTIN MÖLLER

1. SPECIAL CURVES ON HILBERT MODULAR SURFACES

Consider the Hilbert modular surfaces  $X_D = \mathbb{H}^2/\mathrm{SL}(\mathfrak{o}_D \oplus \mathfrak{o}_D^\vee)$  where  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the order of discriminant  $D$  in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Clearly the most special algebraic curve in  $X_D$  is the diagonal, the image of the composition  $z \mapsto (z, z)$  and the projection  $\pi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow X_D$ . For any matrix  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(K)$  one can consider the twisted diagonal  $z \mapsto (Mz, M^\sigma z)$ , where  $\sigma$  is the generator of the Galois group. The  $\pi$ -images of these twisted diagonals are still algebraic curves, called special curves, Shimura curves, modular curves or Hirzebruch-Zagier cycles and the literature on them is even longer than the number of names. Note that for these curves both components of the universal covering map are given by Möbius transformations.

An algebraic curve  $C \rightarrow X_D$  in a Hilbert modular surface is still quite special if one asks just that (at least) *one* of the components of the universal covering map  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$  should be a Möbius transformation. Equivalently, one may ask that  $C \rightarrow X_D$  is totally geodesic for the Kobayashi metric and we thus call these curves *Kobayashi geodesics*. Yet equivalently, we can characterize these curves as being everywhere transversal to (at least) one of the two foliations of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . See [MV10] for more equivalent conditions.

We will provide examples of these curves soon. We give one number theoretic reason why one might be interested in these curves. Consider the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} L(y, t) &= (A(t)y'(t))' + B(t)y(t) = 0 \\ (1) \quad A(t) &= t(t-1)(t-\ell)(t-\ell^{-1}) = t^4 - \beta t^3 + \beta t^2 - t, \\ B(t) &= \frac{3}{4}(3t^2 - (\beta + \gamma)t + \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\ell = \frac{31 - 7\sqrt{17}}{2}, \quad \beta = \ell + \ell^{-1} + 1 = \frac{1087 - 217\sqrt{17}}{64}, \quad \gamma = \frac{27 - 5\sqrt{17}}{4}.$$

There is a well-known recursive procedure for finding a solution  $y = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  of such a differential equation that involves dividing by  $(n+1)^2$  when computing the  $n$ -th term. But the solution of this particular differential equation

$$(2) \quad y = 1 + \frac{81 - 15\sqrt{17}}{16}t + \frac{4845 - 1155\sqrt{17}}{64}t^2 + \frac{3200225 - 775495\sqrt{17}}{2048}t^3 + \dots$$

has coefficients in the ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}_{\sqrt{17}}[1/2]$  ([BM10]). The differential equation is the Picard-Fuchs equation for the curve  $W_{17}$  introduced below.

## 2. THETA DERIVATIVES

Let  $\Theta_{(m,m')}(v, Z)$  be the usual Siegel theta function on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{H}_2$  with characteristic  $(m, m') \in (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2)^2$ . A choice of a basis for  $\mathfrak{o}_D$  determines a 'Siegel' modular embedding, i.e. map  $\psi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$  and equivariant with respect to an adapted group homomorphism  $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}(\mathfrak{o}_D \oplus \mathfrak{o}_D^\vee) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In Siegel upper half space there are no distinguished directions and consequently none of the partial derivatives of  $\Theta$  with respect to  $\varepsilon_i$  is distinguished. Altogether the form a vector-valued modular form. But  $\mathbb{H}^2$  has two distinguished foliations and thus the restriction of  $\Theta(z_1, z_2)$  to the universal covering of  $X_D$  has two distinguished partial derivatives. We denote second of these derivatives by  $D_2\Theta(z_1, z_2)$ . This is a modular form of weight  $(1/2, 3/2)$  for some subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(\mathfrak{o}_D \oplus \mathfrak{o}_D^\vee)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([MZ11]). *The function*

$$D_2\Theta(z_1, z_2) = \prod_{(m,m') \text{ odd}} D_2\Theta_{(m,m')}(0, \psi(z_1, z_2))$$

*is a modular form for the full Hilbert modular group  $\mathrm{SL}(\mathfrak{o}_D \oplus \mathfrak{o}_D^\vee)$  of weight  $(3, 9)$ . Its vanishing locus*

$$W_D = \{D_2\Theta(z_1, z_2) = 0\} \subset X_D$$

*is a Kobayashi geodesic.*

*Sketch of proof.* Being transversal to the second of the two foliations means that the derivative in the  $z_2$ -direction never vanishes. Using the heat equation this means that the third partial derivative of the theta function never vanishes on  $W_D$  (in the interior of  $X_D$ ). This third derivative is a 'modular form' on  $W_D$ . The number of zeros on a compactification of  $W_D$  can thus be computed. It suffices thus to list the number of cusps of  $W_D$  and show that the vanishing orders of the third derivative at these points add up to the required number.  $\square$

## 3. CONNECTION TO TEICHMÜLLER CURVES

Teichmüller curves are algebraic curves in the moduli space of curves  $\mathcal{M}_g$  that are totally geodesic for the Kobayashi (equivalently: Teichmüller) metric. In [McM03] McMullen found an interesting series of such curves  $W_D^{\mathrm{Eig}}$  using eigenforms for real multiplication, see [McM05] for a complete classification. Precisely,

$$W_D^{\mathrm{Eig}} = \{[X] \in \mathcal{M}_2 : \mathrm{Jac}(X) \text{ has RM by } \mathfrak{o}_D, \\ \text{a RM-eigenform } \omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \text{ has a double zero}\}$$

**Theorem 3.1** ([MZ11]). *These two series of curves coincide, i.e.  $W_D = W_D^{\mathrm{Eig}}$  when considered in  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .*

The proof relies on two facts. First, a genus two curve equals the theta divisor in its Jacobian. Second an eigenform has a double zero if and only if the derivative of the theta function in a 'foliation' direction vanishes at a Weierstraß point.

By construction  $W_D^{\mathrm{Eig}}$  is in  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , hence disjoint from the locus  $P_D \subset X_D$  of reducible abelian surfaces. There are two more proofs of this fact using theta functions only.

**Theorem 3.2** ([MZ11]). *The loci  $W_D$  and  $P_D$  are disjoint.*

*Sketch of proof.* The reducible locus is the vanishing locus of the product of all even theta functions. Its restriction to  $X_D$  is the vanishing locus of a modular form of weight  $(5, 5)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 one can thus calculate the number of intersection point of  $W_D$  and  $P_D$  by intersection theory. Again, a local calculation at the cusps of  $W_D$  shows that the intersection points are all located there. For the second proof, one shows that on the reducible locus the derivatives of theta functions factor as a product of two unary theta series. They are known to vanish only at the cusps.  $\square$

Since a Kobayashi geodesic  $C$  in  $X_D$  is a Teichmüller curve if and only if  $C$  is disjoint from  $P_D$ , this provides a proof of the property Teichmüller curve using theta functions only.

**Disconnecting from the world of Teichmüller curves.** Given the universal covering map  $z \mapsto (z, \varphi(z))$  of a Kobayashi geodesic one can obtain more Kobayashi geodesics by twisting, i.e. considering the  $\pi$ -images of  $z \mapsto (Mz, M^\sigma \varphi(z))$ . For these curves one can ask the same questions as for the Hirzebruch-Zagier curves. Some answers are provided in the forthcoming Ph.D. thesis of C. Weiß. But this is still surely not yet the end the story.

If  $C$  is Kobayashi geodesic and  $\mathcal{L}_i$  are the classes of the two foliations of  $X_D$ , then the quantity  $\lambda_2 = (C \cdot \mathcal{L}_1)/(C \cdot \mathcal{L}_2)$  is invariant under twisting. Beside the case  $\lambda_2 = 1$  (HZ-cycles) and  $\lambda_2 = 1/3$  (from  $W_D$ ) C. Weiss also showed that the Prym Teichmüller curves of [McM06] give Kobayashi geodesics with  $\lambda_2 = 1/7$ . A construction of these curves using  $\Theta$ -functions is in progress.

#### 4. TWO COMPACTIFICATIONS

A list of cusps of  $W_D$  was needed in (some of the) proof(s) sketched above. To describe them, there is a very useful compactification  $\overline{X}_D^B$  defined by Bainbridge ([Ba07]) as follows. Consider the preimage of  $X_D$  in  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , lift to  $\Omega\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ , the total space of the relative dualizing sheaf over the Deligne-Mumford compactification, and take  $\overline{X}_D^B$  to be the normalization of the closure.

On the other hand there is Hirzebruch's compactification  $\overline{X}_D^H$ , the minimal smooth compactification. This compactification is toroidal, that is given by a fan, a sequence of  $\alpha_n \in \mathfrak{o}_D$  totally positive with  $\sigma(\alpha_n)/\alpha_n$  decreasing and invariant under multiplication by squares of units in  $\mathfrak{o}_D$ . The toroidal structure allows to compute easily e.g. if and at which point HZ-cycles meet the boundary.

There is also a way of realizing  $\overline{X}_D^B$  as a toroidal compactification. For a fractional  $\mathfrak{o}_D$  ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  let  $\mathfrak{a}^*[2]$  be the set of non-zero elements in  $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}$ . We let  $\widetilde{\text{MM}}(\mathfrak{a}, \xi)$  be the set of  $\alpha \in K$  such that the quadratic form  $F(x) = \text{tr}(\alpha x^2)$  is positive definite and assumes its minimum on  $\mathfrak{a} + \xi$  more than once (where  $x$  and  $-x$  are not distinguished). We define a *multiminimizer* for  $\xi$  to be the equivalence classes

$$\text{MM}(\mathfrak{a}, \xi) = \widetilde{\text{MM}}(\mathfrak{a}, \xi)/\mathbb{Q}^*$$

and we let the set of *multiminimizers* be the union of  $\text{MM}(\mathfrak{a}, \xi)$  over all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*[2]$ .

**Theorem 4.1** ([MZ11]). *For any  $\mathfrak{a}$ , the set of multiminimizers forms a fan. The associated toroidal compactification is Bainbridge's compactification  $\overline{X}_D^B$  at the cusp  $\mathfrak{a}$ .*

This compactification can be calculated by an easy algorithm. In fact, given one multiminimizer, the subsequent ones can be constructed using the 'slow-greater one' continued fraction algorithm. Here 'slow-greater one' continued fraction algorithm means that

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} x_n - 1 & \text{if } x_n > 2 \\ 1/(x_n - 1) & \text{if } 2 > x_n > 1 \end{cases} .$$

Note that Hirzebruch's compactification is driven by the 'fast-minus' continued fraction algorithm

$$x = p_1 - \frac{1}{p_2 - \frac{1}{\ddots}}$$

where at each step  $p_i = \lceil x_i \rceil$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [Ba07] Bainbridge, M., *Euler characteristics of Teichmüller curves in genus two*, *Geom. Topol.* 11 (2007), 1887–2073
- [BM10] Bouw, I., Möller, M., *Differential equations associated with non-arithmetic Fuchsian groups*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 81 (2010), 65–90.
- [MV10] Möller, M., Viehweg, E., *Kobayashi geodesics in  $A_g$* , *J. Differential Geom.* 86 (2010), 355–579.
- [MZ11] Möller, M., Zagier, D., *Theta derivatives and Teichmüller curves*, in preparation (2011)
- [McM03] McMullen, C., *Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003), 857–885
- [McM05] McMullen, C., *Teichmüller curves in genus two: Discriminant and spin*, *Math. Ann.* 333 (2005), 87–130
- [McM06] McMullen, C., *Prym varieties and Teichmüller curves*, *Duke Math. J.* 133 (2006), 569–590